

Production and Analysis of Alloy Composites Exhibiting Improved Bonding Using a Novel Vacuum Casting Process

**A thesis submitted in fulfilment of
the requirements of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy**

by

Paul Huggett

MSc, BAppSc(Hons)(Mat)

Faculty of Science



University of Technology, Sydney

In memory of my daughter
Lisa Michelle Huggett

ABSTRACT

A new composite manufacturing process has been developed that permits the production of white iron/steel composites. The key differences of the new vacuum casting process compared to other current processes for composite manufacture include:

- i. Elimination of machining or grinding
- ii. Removal of brazing alloy
- iii. Enhanced design flexibility
- iv. Enhanced control of microstructural features
- v. Lower cost of production

The new vacuum casting process involves the following key steps:

- Heating a white cast iron and steel substrate together within a vacuum furnace until the temperature inside the vacuum furnace is typically 50°C above the liquidus of the white cast iron.
- Before the white cast iron becomes molten, adding a partial pressure of inert gas (typically nitrogen) into the vacuum furnace to increase the pressure of the chamber above the vapour pressure of the liquid white cast iron.
- Holding the temperature above the liquidus of the white cast iron to allow the white iron to partially dissolve the steel substrate.

The experimental work outlined in this research has permitted the development of a low melting point white cast iron having the nominal composition of Fe-12Cr-1.6Mn-1.0Ni-0.5Si-4.1C, with a measured liquidus temperature of 1209°C. The microstructure of the low melting point alloy consists of a small volume fraction of primary austenite, with a eutectic of M_7C_3 carbides and austenite. Some of the M_7C_3 carbides have undergone a quasi-peritectic reaction. The austenite has undergone a partial transformation to form ledeburite (ferrite plus M_3C carbide in the form of cementite).

The microstructures of the vacuum cast samples show the presence of four zones within the interface region.

- i. Zone 1 – original steel substrate, consisting of hypoeutectoid steel
- ii. Zone 2 – heat affected zone steel substrate
- iii. Zone 3 – “carbide-free” area of low melting point white cast iron adjacent to interface
- iv. Zone 4 – low melting point white cast iron

Manufacturing and field trials have been conducted on a range of composite products to establish the potential benefit of using composite white iron/steel components in mining wear applications. The vacuum casting process has been used successfully to produce a significant volume of trial wear parts, indicating the process is robust enough to be considered for repetitive production, and can also be adapted to manufacture a wide range of products.

DECLARATION OF ORIGINALITY

I certify that this thesis has not been submitted previously for any degree and is not being submitted as part of candidature for any degree. The research work presented was performed under the guidance of Associate Professor Besim Ben-Nissan and Dr Greg Heness of the Department of Chemistry, Materials and Forensic Science (UTS). I certify that I have written the thesis and that help that I have received in its preparation, and all sources used, have been duly acknowledged.

Paul Huggett

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor and mentor Associate Professor Besim Ben-Nissan for his patience, guidance, and understanding throughout this research and in particular during the period of grieving after the loss of my daughter Lisa to cancer in 2003.

I would also like to thank my co-supervisor and friend Dr Greg Heness for his support and guidance.

Special thanks is also extended to Dr Richard Wuhrer for his support and assistance with the electron microscopy and microanalysis, some of which has involved many sessions of after-hours of work.

The following people have provided assistance with various aspects of the research project:

- Dr Ron Wise and Lynton McCreery from Cape Range Wireless Ltd who assisted with project funding.
- Stan Jones for providing labour and assistance during foundry trials and alloy production.
- The staff at the AMTC Central TAFE, Subiaco Campus for the provision of foundry facilities.

Finally, I extend my sincere thanks, love and appreciation to my wife Carolyn who has not only endured her own loss and personal grieving during the course of this study, but has also provided inspiration, patience and focus when at times I was unable to see my way forward.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract	iii
Declaration of Originality	v
Acknowledgements	vi
Table of Contents	vii
List of Figures	xi
List of Tables	xxiv
Nomenclature	xxvi
Outline of Thesis	xxvii
Publications Arising from Thesis Work	xxviii
 CHAPTER 1	 3
 CHAPTER 2	 6
2.1 White Cast Iron Metallurgy	7
2.2 Classification of cast Irons	7
2.3 White Iron Microstructure	8
2.3.1 Hypoeutectic White Iron	8
2.3.2 Eutectic White Irons	9
2.3.3 Hypereutectic White Irons	11
2.4 Effect of Alloying Elements	13
2.5 Wear Characteristics of White Cast Irons	14
2.5.1 Abrasion	18
2.5.2 Adhesion	20
2.5.3 Erosion	22
2.5.4 Wear of White Irons	24
2.5.5 Effect of Mechanical Properties	24
2.5.6 Strain Accommodation	27
2.5.7 Microstructure	28
2.6 Iron-Chromium-Carbon Phase Diagram	34
2.7 Determination of Predicted Alloy Microconstituent Compositions	46

2.8	Experimental Development of Low Melting Point Alloy	51
2.9	Results and Discussion	54
2.9.1	Metallography	55
2.9.2	Thermal Analysis	58
2.9.3	Electron Microscopy and Microanalysis of Low Melting Point Alloy (C1)	70
2.10	Summary and Outcomes	75
CHAPTER 3		77
3.1	Introduction	77
3.2	Existing Processes	78
3.2.1	Vacuum Brazing	78
3.2.2	Factors Effecting the Performance of the Composite Bond	85
3.2.3	Typical Microstructures of Vacuum Brazed Joints	86
3.2.4	Examples of Finished Products	88
3.2.5	CSIRO Cast-Bond Process	90
3.3	Theory of Vacuum Casting	92
3.3.1	General Description of Vacuum Furnaces	93
3.4	Design and Construction of a Vacuum Tube Furnace	98
3.5	Design and Construction of a Hot Walled Vacuum Furnace	103
3.5.1	General Description of Furnace	103
3.6	Experimental	111
3.6.1	Vacuum Tube Furnace Trials	111
3.6.2	Hot Walled Vacuum Furnace Trials	116
3.7	Results and Discussion	121
3.7.1	Visual Analysis of Tube Furnace Samples	122
3.7.2	Optical Microscopy	127
3.7.3	Microhardness Profiles of Interface Region	131
3.7.4	Description of Vacuum Casting Process	137
3.8	Summary of Outcomes	142

CHAPTER 4	145
4.1 Introduction	145
4.2 Optical Microscopy of Interface Region	146
4.3 Electron Microscopy Analysis of Interface	150
4.3.1 Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) Imaging	151
4.3.2 Electron Microscope Energy Dispersive Spectroscopy (EDS) Analysis	157
4.3.3 SEM X-Ray Mapping	163
4.3.4 SEM Electron Backscattered Diffraction (EBSD) Mapping	193
4.4 Diffusion of Elements Across Interface	211
4.5 Summary and Outcomes	222
 CHAPTER 5	 224
5.1 Performance of Existing Materials in Mining Applications	225
5.2 Manufacture of Prototype Parts	236
5.2.1 Manufacture of Full White iron Excavator Bucket Tooth	237
5.2.2 Manufacture of Laminated White Iron/Steel Bucket Tooth	238
5.2.3 Manufacture of Dredge Small Bucket Teeth	242
5.2.4 Manufacture of Wider Composite Dredge Bucket Tooth	250
5.2.5 Manufacture of Dredge Wide Bucket Lip	255
5.2.6 Manufacture of Excavator Bucket Tooth Tip	264
5.2.7 Manufacture of Wear Bars	266
5.2.8 Manufacture of Debonding (Tensile) Test Sample	269
5.3 Laboratory Wear Testing	272
5.4 Debonding (Tensile) Testing	272
5.5 Composite White Iron/Steel Material Data Sheet	274
5.6 Field Trials	275
5.6.1 Field Trial of Full White Iron Bucket Teeth on	275

	Excavator	
5.6.2	Field Trial of Laminated Bucket Teeth on Excavator	276
5.6.3	Field Trial of Bucket Teeth in Dredging Applications	278
5.7	Results and Discussion	281
5.7.1	Laboratory Wear Testing	281
5.7.2	Summary Full Scale Field Trials	283
5.8	Summary of Outcomes	287
CHAPTER 6		289
7	Future Work	292
8	References	293
9	Appendices	299

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
Figure 2.1: Hypoeutectic white iron microstructure.	9
Figure 2.2: Eutectic white iron microstructure.	10
Figure 2.3: Eutectic carbide rods (after deep etching)	11
Figure 2.4: Typical hypereutectic white iron microstructure.	12
Figure 2.5: Abrasive wear.	18
Figure 2.6: Four modes of abrasion	19
Figure 2.7: Adhesive wear	21
Figure 2.8: Erosive wear modes	23
Figure 2.9: Effect of alloy steel hardness on overall wear resistance	26
Figure 2.10: Relationship between wear resistance, hardness and fracture toughness	26
Figure 2.11: Effect of carbide volume fraction on wear of alloy white irons	29
Figure 2.12: Relationship between primary carbide volume fraction and abrasive wear rate for hypereutectic white irons	29
Figure 2.13: Abrasive wear as a function of the ratio of hardness of particle to the hardness of the material	32
Figure 2.14: Liquidus projection for the Fe-Cr-C system	35
Figure 2.15: Summary of reaction sequences for the Fe-Cr-C system	36
Figure 2.16: Fe-Si-Cr-C liquidus projection for 0.5 wt% Si after Schon and Sinatora	37
Figure 2.17: Calculated phase diagram for the Fe-30Cr-C alloy series	40
Figure 2.18: Calculated phase diagram for the Fe-10Cr-C alloy series	41
Figure 2.19: Calculated phase diagram for Fe-12Cr-C alloy series	42
Figure 2.20: Compositional end points for Fe-12Cr-C phase diagram	43
Figure 2.21: Calculated phase diagram for the Fe-12Cr-1.0Ni-0.6Si-1.6Mn-C alloy series	44
Figure 2.22: Calculated phase diagram from FACTSAGE for the Fe-12Cr-1.0Ni-0.6Si-1.6Mn-4C alloy	45
Figure 2.23: Relationship between eutectic carbide chromium	49

content and the alloy chromium/carbon ratio	
Figure 2.24: Schematic diagram for thermal analysis setup.	53
Figure 2.25: Relationship of trial alloy compositions to Fe-Cr-C phase diagram (by Thorpe and Chico)	54
Figure 2.26: Typical microstructure for the Fe-12Cr-1.6Mn-1.0Ni-0.5Si-4.1C (Alloy C1) low melting point white cast iron (etched in acid-ferric chloride)	56
Figure 2.27: Image analysis threshold selection of carbides to determine carbide volume fraction (CVF).	57
Figure 2.28: Thermal analysis cooling curve for Fe-8.0Cr-2.0Mn-0.5Si-3.4C alloy	59
Figure 2.29: Thermal analysis cooling curve for Fe-8.0Cr-2.0Mn-0.5Si-3.6C alloy	60
Figure 2.30: Thermal analysis cooling curve for Fe-8.0Cr-2.0Mn-0.5Si-3.9C alloy	61
Figure 2.31: Thermal analysis cooling curve for Fe-8.0Cr-2.0Mn-0.5Si-4.2 alloy	62
Figure 2.32: Thermal analysis cooling curve for Fe-10.0Cr-2.0Mn-0.7Si-3.3C alloy	63
Figure 2.33: Thermal analysis cooling curve for Fe-10.0Cr-2.0Mn-0.7Si-3.6C alloy	64
Figure 2.34: Thermal analysis cooling curve for Fe-10.0Cr-2.0Mn-0.7Si-4.1C alloy	65
Figure 2.35: Thermal analysis cooling curve for Fe-12.0Cr-1.6Mn-1.0Ni-0.5Si-4.1C alloy	66
Figure 2.36: Phase diagram based on experimental data for Fe-8Cr-2Mn-0.5Si-C alloy series with published data from Thorpe and Chico	67
Figure 2.37: Phase diagram based on experimental data for Fe-10Cr-2Mn-0.5Si-C alloy series with published data from Thorpe and Chico	67
Figure 2.38: Comparison of experimental data for Fe-8Cr-2Mn-0.5Si-C alloy series with predicted data from Thermocalc	68
Figure 2.39: Comparison of experimental data for Fe-10Cr-2Mn-0.5Si-C alloy series with predicted data from Thermocalc	69
Figure 2.40: Low magnification secondary electron image of Alloy C1	72
Figure 2.41: Detail of Alloy C1	72
Figure 2.42: Zeiss in-lens image of Alloy C1	73

Figure 2.43: Zeiss in-lens detail image of Alloy C1	73
Figure 2.44: High magnification Zeiss in-lens image of carbides	74
Figure 3.1: Capillary action and surface wetting	80
Figure 3.2: Hot and cold wall vacuum furnace principles	81
Figure 3.3: Schematic diagram for the vacuum brazing process for steel and white cast iron	84
Figure 3.4: Steel/White iron composite (etchant: 5% HCl acid ferric chloride)	86
Figure 3.5: Close up detail of high carbon iron/copper interface (etchant: 5% HCl acid ferric chloride)	87
Figure 3.6: General Microstructure of 15/3 CrMo iron used for the parts (etchant: 5% HCl acid ferric chloride)	87
Figure 3.7: 75mm and 90mm buttons, 50mm x 50mm blocks of different lengths, 25mm x 25mm x 300mm bars, and other assorted sized blocks	88
Figure 3.8: 50mm x 50mm x 432mm Block, 240mm x 50mm and 240x40 "Chok" Blocks, 50x50 blocks and two skid pads	89
Figure 3.9: Various sizes of skid pads	89
Figure 3.10: Schematic of typical vacuum furnace system	95
Figure 3.11: Typical components of a horizontal vacuum furnace	97
Figure 3.12: Cross-sectioned view of vacuum transfer furnace	97
Figure 3.13: Design of ceramic vacuum tube for use in tube furnace	100
Figure 3.14: Schematic design of vacuum tube furnace	100
Figure 3.15: Vacuum tube furnace used for experimental development of the vacuum casting process	101
Figure 3.16: Vacuum tube furnace used for experimental development of the vacuum casting process	101
Figure 3.17: Typical heating curve for vacuum tube furnace	103
Figure 3.18: Schematic diagram of hot-wall vacuum furnace	106
Figure 3.19: Hot wall vacuum furnace	107
Figure 3.20: Hot wall vacuum furnace with front door open	107
Figure 3.21: Internal view of heating elements and hot zone of hot wall vacuum furnace	108
Figure 3.22: View of control panel for hot wall vacuum furnace	108
Figure 3.23: View of vacuum pump and isolation valves for hot	109

wall vacuum furnace	
Figure 3.24: Typical temperature profile for hot wall vacuum furnace	110
Figure 3.25: Prepared steel substrate after surface preparation and cleaning	112
Figure 3.26: Prepared white iron Alloy C1 charge and steel substrate	113
Figure 3.27: Typical bonded steel/white iron test sample after heat treatment in the vacuum tube furnace	115
Figure 3.28: Typical suction-cutter dredge head (shown in the raised position)	118
Figure 3.29: Typical mould arrangement for suction-cutter dredge bucket teeth	119
Figure 3.30: Typical original and worn steel bucket tooth used on the suction-cutter dredge.	120
Figure 3.31: Effect of soak duration on melting of white cast iron	122
Figure 3.32: Effect of nitrogen partial pressure on melting and bonding of white cast iron	123
Figure 3.33: Detail of edge bloating on the full vacuum tested composite sample	124
Figure 3.34: Excellent melting and bonding of the white iron to the steel substrate for a soak temperature of 1259°C, partial pressure of 200mbar and soak duration of 60 minutes.	125
Figure 3.35: Relationship between temperature above liquidus and required soak time to achieve a satisfactory bond	125
Figure 3.36: Macro images of sectioned samples with variations in partial pressure (soak temperature 1259°C, 60 minute soak duration)	126
Figure 3.37: Macro images of sectioned samples with variation in soak duration (soak temperature 1209°C, 200mbar partial pressure)	126
Figure 3.38: Optical micrograph of vacuum cast interface (etchant acid ferric chloride)	128
Figure 3.39: Detail of interface area (etchant acid ferric chloride)	129
Figure 3.40: Optical micrograph of vacuum cast interface (etchant acid ferric chloride). Note the continuation of the M_3C carbides in the white cast iron from the Fe_3C carbides in the steel.	129
Figure 3.41: Location of microstructural zones on vacuum cast interface	130
Figure 3.42: Detail of carbide-free zone in interface area (etchant	131

acid ferric chloride)	
Figure 3.43: Typical microhardness profile for vacuum cast white iron/steel composite (+65°C above Liquidus, 60 minute soak, 200mbar partial pressure)	132
Figure 3.44: Microhardness profile for vacuum cast white iron/steel composite (+25°C above liquidus, zero soak, 200mbar partial pressure)	134
Figure 3.45: Microhardness profile for vacuum cast white iron/steel composite (+zero °C above liquidus, zero soak, 200mbar partial pressure)	135
Figure 3.46: Microhardness profile for vacuum cast white iron/steel composite (+25 °C above liquidus, 30 min soak, 200mbar partial pressure)	135
Figure 3.47: Microhardness profile for heat treated vacuum cast white iron/steel composite at 1100°C for 10 minutes (Original +50 °C above liquidus, 30 min soak, 200mbar partial pressure)	136
Figure 3.48: Microhardness profile for heat treated vacuum cast white iron/steel composite at 1100°C for 30 minutes (Original +50 °C above liquidus, 30 min soak, 200mbar partial pressure)	136
Figure 3.49: Schematic diagram of solid/liquid interface during the vacuum casting process	138
Figure 3.50: Vacuum casting process flow chart	140
Figure 3.51: Schematic diagram of steel shell for white iron/steel composite	141
Figure 4.1: Optical bright field image of vacuum cast interface.	147
Figure 4.2: Optical bright field image detail of vacuum cast interface.	147
Figure 4.3: Optical bright field image detail of vacuum cast interface.	148
Figure 4.4: Optical bright field image detail of vacuum cast interface.	148
Figure 4.5: Optical bright field image detail of vacuum cast interface. Four distinct zones within the alloy composite can be identified.	149
Figure 4.6: SE image of vacuum cast interface (sample unetched).	151
Figure 4.7: SE image of vacuum cast white cast iron region	152

	(sample unetched).	
Figure 4.8:	SE image detail of Zone 4 white cast iron, with carbide and ferrous matrix (sample etched in acid-ferric chloride 20 seconds)	153
Figure 4.9:	SE image of Zone 3 white cast iron which exhibits essentially a carbide-free region, with some M_3C carbide extending perpendicular to interface	153
Figure 4.10:	SE image detail of Zone 3, with M_3C carbide extending perpendicular to interface (sample etched in acid-ferric chloride 20 seconds)	154
Figure 4.11:	SE image of Zone 2 near Zone 1, with regions of ferrite surrounded by pearlite (sample etched in acid-ferric chloride 20 seconds)	155
Figure 4.12:	SE image detail of Figure 4.11 of Zone 2 near Zone 1, with regions of ferrite surrounded by pearlite (sample etched in acid-ferric chloride 20 seconds)	155
Figure 4.13:	UTS Jeol 35CF fitted with two EDS detectors and Moran Scientific XRM System	157
Figure 4.14:	EDS analysis for ferrous region in vacuum cast composite sample	159
Figure 4.15:	EDS analysis for eutectic carbide in vacuum cast white cast iron region (analysis region is shown in yellow).	160
Figure 4.16:	EDS analyses for vacuum cast sample interface for three ferrous zones	161
Figure 4.17:	Moran Scientific Jeol 733 microprobe with three EDS x-ray detectors and three WDS detectors	164
Figure 4.18:	Pseudo colour x-ray map for low chromium white cast iron	165
Figure 4.19:	Compositional map for iron rich phase in low chromium white cast iron. Yellow colouring represents location of iron rich phase.	166
Figure 4.20:	Compositional map for chromium rich carbides in low chromium white cast iron. Yellow colouring represents location of chromium rich phase.	167
Figure 4.21:	Compositional map for low chromium carbides in low chromium white cast iron. Yellow colouring represents location of low chromium carbides.	168
Figure 4.22:	Compositional map for manganese rich phase in low chromium white cast iron. Yellow colouring represents location of manganese rich phase.	169
Figure 4.23:	Composite colour x-ray map produced from overlay of selected compositional phase areas from Figures	170

4.19 to 4.22 for the low melting point alloy.	
Figure 4.24: Back scattered electron (BSE) image and x-ray maps for vacuum cast sample interface colour x-ray map for low chromium white cast iron	171
Figure 4.25: Back scattered electron (BSE) image and compositional scatter plots for vacuum cast composite interface region for chromium, iron and manganese.	172
Figure 4.26: Compositional map for iron rich phase in vacuum cast composite. Yellow colouring represents location of iron rich phase.	173
Figure 4.27: Compositional map for chromium/iron phase in vacuum cast composite. Yellow colouring represents location of chromium/iron phase.	174
Figure 4.28: Compositional map for low chromium carbide phase in vacuum cast composite. Yellow colouring represents location of low chromium carbide phase.	175
Figure 4.29: Compositional map for main chromium carbide phase in vacuum cast composite. Yellow colouring represents location of chromium carbide phase.	176
Figure 4.30: Compositional map for chromium depleted carbide phase in vacuum cast composite. Yellow colouring represents location of chromium depleted carbide phase.	177
Figure 4.31: Compositional map for second chromium/iron phase in vacuum cast composite. Yellow colouring represents location of second chromium/iron phase.	178
Figure 4.32: Compositional map for chromium rich matrix phase in vacuum cast composite. Yellow colouring represents location of chromium rich matrix phase.	179
Figure 4.33: Composite colour x-ray map produced from overlay of selected compositional phase areas for chromium, iron and manganese from Figures 4.26 to 4.32 for the steel/white iron interface area.	180
Figure 4.34: Back scattered electron (BSE) image and compositional scatter plots for vacuum cast composite interface region for chromium, iron and nickel.	181
Figure 4.35: Composite colour x-ray map produced from overlay of selected compositional phase areas for chromium, iron and nickel for the steel/white iron interface area.	182
Figure 4.36: Secondary electron (SE) image and x-ray maps for vacuum cast sample white cast iron carbides	183
Figure 4.37: Carbon x-ray map for vacuum cast white iron/steel	184

interface performed on a Jeol 733 Microprobe SEM	
Figure 4.38: Single line profile from x-ray map for carbon WDS scan	185
Figure 4.39: Relationship between carbon x-ray counts and carbon weight percent for vacuum cast alloy sample from Figure 4.38.	186
Figure 4.40: Carbon x-ray map for vacuum cast white iron/steel interface with x-ray line scan profile overlayed showing decrease in carbon content through a linear band parallel to the interface.	187
Figure 4.41: BSE image of sample shown in carbon map in Figure 4.37 for vacuum cast white iron/steel interface with x-ray line scan profile overlayed.	188
Figure 4.42: Single line profile from x-ray map for multi-element EDS scan.	189
Figure 4.43: Relationship between chromium x-ray counts and chromium weight percent for vacuum cast alloy sample from Figure 4.42.	190
Figure 4.44: Relationship between manganese x-ray counts and manganese weight percent for vacuum cast alloy sample from Figure 4.42.	191
Figure 4.45: Relationship between nickel x-ray counts and nickel weight percent for vacuum cast alloy sample from Figure 4.42.	192
Figure 4.46: Typical EBSD SEM arrangement [59].	194
Figure 4.47: Location of EBSD map for vacuum cast composite bond produced at 1274°C for 60 minute soak.	195
Figure 4.48: EBSD Map for vacuum cast composite bond produced at 1274°C for 60 minute soak for region shown in Figure 4.47	196
Figure 4.49: EBSD Map for vacuum cast composite bond produced at 1274°C for 60 minute soak overlayed onto SE image from Figure 4.47	197
Figure 4.50: Higher magnification image of EBSD sample produced at 1274°C for 60 minute soak	198
Figure 4.51: Kichuchi patterns and indexing data for M_3C carbide found in sample shown in Figure 4.50	199
Figure 4.52: Kichuchi patterns and indexing data for BCC ferrite found in white iron ferrous matrix shown in Figure 4.50	200
Figure 4.53: Kichuchi patterns and indexing data for BCC ferrite found in the “carbide-free” zone shown in Figure 4.50	201
Figure 4.54: Kichuchi patterns and indexing data for Fe_3C carbide	202

found as pearlite in the sample shown in Figure 4.50	
Figure 4.55: Correlation of Kichuchi patterns to vacuum cast interface based on Figure 4.50 and portion of EBSD map for selected region.	203
Figure 4.56: SE image for “carbide-free” region of the sample produced at 1274°C for 60 minute soak at higher magnification compared to Figures 4.47 and 4.50.	204
Figure 4.57: Overlay of EBSD data for BCC ferrite onto the SE image for “carbide-free” region of the sample produced at 1274°C for 60 minute soak based on Figure 4.56.	205
Figure 4.58: Overlay of EBSD data for M_3C carbides onto the SE image for “carbide-free” region of the sample produced at 1274°C for 60 minute soak based on Figure 4.56.	205
Figure 4.59: Overlay of complete EBSD map onto the SE image for “carbide-free” region of the sample produced at 1274°C for 60 minute soak based on Figure 4.56.	206
Figure 4.60: Detail of EBSD map for “carbide-free” region of the sample produced at 1274°C for 60 minute soak based on Figure 4.56.	207
Figure 4.61: EBSD map overlayed onto SE image for vacuum cast interface between white cast iron and steel substrate.	208
Figure 4.62: Lower magnification EBSD map overlayed onto SE image for vacuum cast interface between white cast iron and steel substrate.	208
Figure 4.63: Location of higher resolution EBSD map for eutectic carbides in the white cast iron (Zone 4) of the vacuum cast composite.	209
Figure 4.64: EBSD map for eutectic carbides in the white cast iron (Zone 4) of the vacuum cast composite.	210
Figure 4.65: Overlay of EBSD map onto SE image for eutectic carbides in the white cast iron (Zone 4) of the vacuum cast composite.	210
Figure 4.66: Typical steel dissolution as a result of the contact with liquid white cast iron during the vacuum casting process.	213
Figure 4.67: Comparison between microhardness and carbon content for diffusion away from the interface in the steel substrate for the vacuum cast white iron/steel composite produced at 1274°C with a 60 minute soak. (100g HV load used).	215
Figure 4.68: Comparison between microhardness and carbon content for diffusion away from the interface in the	216

steel substrate for the vacuum cast white iron/steel composite produced at 1209°C with a zero soak. (100g HV load used).	
Figure 4.69: Comparison between measured and calculated carbon content in steel substrate adjacent to vacuum cast interface. Sample produced at 1274°C for 60 minute soak.	217
Figure 4.70: Comparison between measured and calculated carbon content in steel substrate adjacent to vacuum cast interface. Sample produced at 1209°C for zero soak.	218
Figure 4.71: Comparison between measured and calculated chromium content in steel substrate adjacent to vacuum cast interface. Sample produced at 1274°C for 60 minute soak.	219
Figure 4.72: Comparison between measured and calculated nickel content in steel substrate adjacent to vacuum cast interface. Sample produced at 1274°C for 60 minute soak.	219
Figure 5.1: Excavator application in open pit mining	228
Figure 5.2: Typical bucket tooth installation on large mining excavator bucket	229
Figure 5.3: Bucket teeth test position	230
Figure 5.5: Geometry of the wear of excavator bucket teeth	231
Figure 5.6: Effect of bucket tooth position on wear rate for bucket-wheel excavator trials	233
Figure 5.7: Relationship of wear and impact levels for different mining applications	234
Figure 5.8: Types of rock zones experienced in open pit mining operations	235
Figure 5.9: Full white iron cast bucket tooth (equivalent to ESCO V66RDX tooth)	237
Figure 5.10: Comparison of cast white iron and cast steel bucket teeth	238
Figure 5.11: Machined V66RDX steel bucket tooth ready to vacuum braze white iron wear plate on front tip	239
Figure 5.12: Multi-laminate bucket tooth tip.	240
Figure 5.13: Finished vacuum brazed multi-laminated bucket tooth tip.	241
Figure 5.14: New and worn original Cr/Mo steel dredge bucket teeth (ESCO V19TY)	243
Figure 5.15: Steel moulds coated with refractory ceramic paint,	244

with steel parts inserted and measured white iron charge positioned in side chutes.

Figure 5.16:	Closer view of steel part positioned with steel plate, and white iron charge located in side chute	244
Figure 5.17:	Loading the hot walled vacuum furnace with trial composite white iron/steel parts in steel moulds	245
Figure 5.18:	Close up view of hot walled vacuum furnace showing arrangement of steel moulds on furnace tray prior to final placement	246
Figure 5.19:	View of multiple steel moulds for composite white iron/steel parts placed into hot walled vacuum furnace	246
Figure 5.20:	Several finished composite white iron/steel bucket teeth with original worn steel part (a) and painted composite part (b)	247
Figure 5.21:	Detail of finished composite tooth (a), painted composite tooth (b) and original worn Cr/Mo steel tooth (c)	248
Figure 5.22:	Detail view of comparison between worn original Cr/Mo steel bucket tooth (a) and new composite white iron/steel bucket tooth (b)	249
Figure 5.23:	View of steel mould with ceramic coating on inside surfaces to assist with stopping bonding of white iron to the steel mould	250
Figure 5.24:	View of steel mould with steel part positioned using retaining plate.	251
Figure 5.25:	Completed wider tip composite part after removal from the metal mould	252
Figure 5.26:	Side view of wider tip composite white iron/steel bucket tooth	252
Figure 5.27:	Original as-received steel part and new wide tip composite wear part	253
Figure 5.28:	Front view of original as-received steel part and new wide tip composite wear part	253
Figure 5.29:	Ceramic coated mould after removal of the finished composite part	254
Figure 5.30:	Worn steel dredge wide bucket lip	255
Figure 5.31:	Side view of worn steel dredge wide bucket lip	256
Figure 5.32:	Dual attachment cavities for wide bucket lip	256
Figure 5.33:	Wide bucket tooth lip part inserted into furnace ready to start the cycle	257
Figure 5.34:	View of mould, white iron charge and steel part	257

placed into position	
Figure 5.35: View of completed wide bucket lip still in the mould after casting	258
Figure 5.36: Detail view of completed wide bucket lip still in the mould after casting.	259
Figure 5.37: View of excellent wetting between the white iron and the steel	259
Figure 5.38: Side view of steel mould and composite part after removal from the furnace	260
Figure 5.39: Finished composite wide bucket lip after removal from the mould	260
Figure 5.40: View of the finished composite wide bucket tooth prior to final painting	261
Figure 5.41: End view of wide bucket lip showing excellent bonding around the complete part	262
Figure 5.42: First production trial part with a final mass of 9.02kg. Subsequent cycles were adjusted for a total composite mass of 9.40kg.	262
Figure 5.43: Comparison of sizes of wide bucket lip composite part to ESCO V19TY bucket teeth	263
Figure 5.44: Cast chromium/molybdenum steel bucket tooth tip with cavity to receive white iron	264
Figure 5.45: As-cast composite steel/white cast iron bucket tooth tip.	265
Figure 5.46: Hot “chok” bar mould with cast parts after removal at 1000°C from furnace	266
Figure 5.47: Finished composite white iron/steel “chok” bar after casting using vacuum based process	267
Figure 5.48: Detail view of excellent bonding between white cast iron and steel backing plate.	268
Figure 5.49: As-cast composite wear bar manufactured from “C” channel with welded end caps	268
Figure 5.50: Vacuum cast composite tensile test sample dimensions	269
Figure 5.51: End view of completed composite debonding test sample	270
Figure 5.52: Composite debonding test sample	271
Figure 5.53: Detail of bonding/interface between centre rod, white cast iron and outer shell of composite tensile test sample	271
Figure 5.54: Location of trial full white iron bucket teeth on	275

Komatsu PC1000 excavator bucket	
Figure 5.55: Location of trial single laminate white iron/steel bucket teeth on Komatsu PC1000 excavator bucket	276
Figure 5.56: Location of trial multi-laminate white iron/steel bucket teeth on Hitachi EX1800 excavator bucket	277
Figure 5.57: View of suction-cutter dredge head raised from the water for maintenance.	279
Figure 5.58: Closer view of bucket arrangement on suction-cutter dredge head. Note wide bucket lip and smaller side bucket teeth.	279
Figure 5.59: Vacuum cast small composite bucket teeth trialled at Cable Sands Mine, Capel, Western Australia (New composite on left)	280
Figure 5.60: Relationship Between Carbon Content and Abrasive Wear Rate for Fe-12Cr-1.6Mn-1.0Ni-0.6Si-C Alloy Series (DSRW Test based on ASTM G65-04)	282
Figure 5.61: Comparative wear rates for chromium/molybdenum steel and white cast iron in excavator application (Greenbushes Mine)	284
Figure 5.62: Comparative wear rates for chromium/molybdenum steel and multi-layer vacuum brazed white cast iron in excavator application (Golden Feather Mine)	285
Figure 5.63: Comparison of Volumetric Wear Rates for Cr/Mo Steel and Composite Alloy Parts (Cable Sands Jangardup Mine Site)	286

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
Table 2.1: Typical liquidus temperatures for commercial white cast irons.	6
Table 2.2: Classification of wear modes	16
Table 2.3: Classification of wear processes by wear mechanisms.	17
Table 2.4: Summary of factors which influence wear	17
Table 2.5: Hardness of minerals and microconstituents	33
Table 2.6: Summary of Invariant Reactions	34
Table 2.7: Comparison of predicted and observed microstructures for high chromium white irons	38
Table 2.8: Calculated lowest liquidus temperatures for the C-Cr-Fe-Mn-Mo system	39
Table 2.9: Typical phase microanalysis results for hypoeutectic white iron	47
Table 2.10: Typical phase microanalysis results for eutectic white iron	47
Table 2.11: Typical phase microanalysis results for hypereutectic white iron	48
Table 2.12: Phase elemental distributions (based on empirical data)	50
Table 2.13: Estimated phase compositions for proposed	50
Table 2.14: Summary of test alloy compositions	52
Table 2.15: Summary of alloy microstructures	56
Table 2.16: Measured thermal arrests for trial alloys	58
Table 2.17: EDS analysis data for Alloy C1	74
Table 3.1: Effect of furnace atmosphere on maximum element temperature [52]	102
Table 3.2: Test sample matrix covering variations in temperature, time at soak and partial pressure of inert gas	115
Table 3.3: Summary of Zone 2 and 3 depths based on microhardness data for vacuum cast samples	133
Table 3.4: Effect of heat treatment on Zone 2 depth for vacuum cast composite	134
Table 4.1: EDS phase analysis after carbon correction for ferrous phase zones shown in Figure 4.16 for	162

	vacuum cast sample.	
Table 4.2:	EDS phase analysis after carbon correction for vacuum cast sample.	162
Table 4.3:	Estimated carbon weight % based on carbon calibration curve shown in Figure 4.39 for positions on x-ray line scan shown in Figure 4.38.	186
Table 4.4:	Estimated chromium weight % based on chromium calibration curve shown in Figure 4.3 for positions on x-ray line scan shown in Figure 4.42.	190
Table 4.5:	Estimated manganese weight % based on manganese calibration curve shown in Figure 4.44 for positions on x-ray line scan shown in Figure 4.42.	191
Table 4.6:	Estimated nickel weight % based on nickel calibration curve shown in Figure 4.45 for positions on x-ray line scan shown in Figure 4.42.	192
Table 4.7:	Carbon Diffusion Coefficients in γ iron	213
Table 4.8:	Chromium Diffusion Coefficients in γ iron	214
Table 4.9:	Nickel diffusion coefficients in γ iron	214
Table 5.1:	Summary of materials used in mining applications	226
Table 5.2:	Relative wear rates for white cast irons used for liner plates	227
Table 5.3:	Abrasive wear rates for hardfacing alloys	229
Table 5.4:	Summary of wear part life for laboratory and mine based testing	230
Table 5.5:	Summary of pendulum groove test data	232
Table 5.6:	Debonding (Tensile) test results for composite white cast iron/steel	273
Table 5.7:	Dry Sand Rubber Wheel Wear Test Results (according to ASTM G65-04)	281
Table 5.8:	Summary of field trial data for full white iron and vacuum brazed wear parts, Greenbushes Mine	283
Table 5.9:	Summary of field trial data for full white iron and vacuum brazed wear parts, Golden Feather Mine	283
Table 5.10:	Summary of field trial data for composite wear parts in dredge application	285

NOMENCLATURE

$\phi(y)$	=	Gaussian Error Function
<i>Bulk C%</i>	=	overall carbon weight percent
C_0	=	concentration of semi-infinite solid
C_s	=	surface concentration
C_x	=	concentration of diffusing species
<i>C%</i>	=	carbon weight percent
<i>Cr%</i>	=	chromium weight percent
<i>Cr/C</i>	=	chromium/carbon ratio
D	=	diffusivity or diffusion coefficient
D_0	=	temperature independent pre-exponential, m ² /s
$\frac{dC}{dx}$	=	concentration gradient
<i>EC Cr%</i>	=	eutectic Carbide Chromium Content
<i>ECVF%</i>	=	eutectic carbide volume fraction %
<i>ETC%</i>	=	eutectic trough carbon %
<i>FM Cr%</i>	=	ferrous Matrix Chromium Content %
J_x	=	flux of diffusing species
<i>PC Cr%</i>	=	primary Carbide Chromium Content
<i>PCVF%</i>	=	primary carbide volume fraction %
<i>PFMVVF%</i>	=	primary ferrous matrix volume fraction %
Q_d	=	activation energy for diffusion, J/mol
R	=	Gas constant, 8.314 J.mol ⁻¹ .K ⁻¹
t	=	time, seconds
T	=	Temperature, Kelvin
x	=	distance, mm

OUTLINE OF THESIS

Chapter One provides background information and significance of this research work.

Chapter Two describes the development of a low melting point white cast iron. The low melting point white iron development involved analysis of the Fe-Cr-C phase diagram and computer modelling using CALPHAD techniques to result in a white cast iron having a liquidus (melting point) of approximately 1200°C. The low melting point white iron was essential to enable standard electrical element materials to be adopted for the vacuum heat treatment furnace development.

Chapter Three describes the development of the vacuum casting process, providing theory of vacuum heat treatment, background to other composite alloy manufacturing processes, and the experimental work and outcomes for the new vacuum based composite alloy manufacturing process.

Chapter Four provides a detailed analysis of the composite interface developed between a steel substrate and the low melting point white cast iron. The analysis of the interface is used to provide confirmation of the vacuum casting model developed in Chapter 3, and to demonstrate the quality and power of the vacuum process to develop 100% fully bonded metallurgical bonds.

Chapter Five provides detail on the development of trial parts for use in various mining applications. The trial parts involved the manufacture of full scale parts for use on heavy duty mining equipment. Laboratory wear test results are provided and compared to field trial performance.

Chapter Six outlines the conclusion of the thesis and summarises the project outcomes.

References have been listed in the sequence of their use within the main thesis text and then numerically numbered.

Publications Arising from Thesis Work

1. P.G. Huggett and B. Ben-Nissan, "Development of a low melting point white cast iron for use in composite alloy manufacture", Proceedings of the Materials and Austceram Conference, Sydney, Australia, July 2007
2. P.G. Huggett, R. Wuhrer, B. Ben-Nissan and K. Moran, "Composite alloy wear parts for use in the mining industry", Materials Forum, 2006, Volume 30, pp23-29.
3. D. J. Attard, R. Wuhrer P. G. Huggett and K. Moran, "Sample preparation of a novel titanium-aluminium composite", 19th Australian Conference on Microscopy and Microanalysis, Sydney, NSW February 2006.
4. D.J. Attard , R. Wuhrer, P.G. Huggett and K. Moran, " Sample preparation of a novel titanium-aluminium composite for EBSD analysis", Microscopy and Microanalysis, 2006, Vol 12(suppl 2), 1052CD-1053CD.
5. P.G. Huggett, R. Wuhrer, B. Ben-Nissan and K. Moran, "A novel metallurgical bonding process and microstructural analysis of ferrous alloy composites", Materials Forum, 2005, Volume 29, pp83-88.
6. R. Wuhrer, P. Huggett, K. Moran, M.R. Phillips and B. Ben-Nissan, "EBSD and XRM of phases in vacuum cast composite alloys", Microscopy and Microanalysis, 2005, Vol 11 (suppl 2), pp1678-1679.
7. R. Wuhrer, K. Moran, P. Huggett, M.R. Phillips, B. Ben-Nissan, X-ray mapping and EBSD of phases in welded steels, Microscopy and Microanalysis, 2004, Vol 10 (suppl 2), pp912-914.
8. P. Huggett, R. Wuhrer, B. Ben-Nissan and K. Moran, "A novel metallurgical bonding process and microstructural analysis of ferrous alloy composites", Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Advanced Materials Processing, Edited by J.F. Nie and M. Barnett, IMEA, 2004, pp 83-88.
9. R. Wuhrer, P. Huggett, M.R. Phillips, K. Moran and B. Ben-Nissan, "X-ray mapping and electron back-scattered diffraction of welded materials", 18th Australian Conference on Microscopy and Microanalysis, Geelong, Victoria, 2004.
10. R. Wuhrer, K. Moran, P. Huggett, M.R. Phillips, and B. Ben-Nissan, "X-ray Mapping and Electron Back Scattered Diffraction of Phases in Welded Materials", Proc. of Microscopy and Microanalysis 2004, Savannah USA, Eds E. Voelkl, D. Piston, R. Gauvin, A.J. Lockley, G.W. Bailey and S. Mckernan, Published by Cambridge University Press, Vol 8 Suppl 2, 446-447